

August 23, 2010

Jocelyn Boyd, Esquire Chief Clerk and Administrator South Carolina Public Service Commission Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re:

Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.

Power Plant Performance Report

Docket No. 2006-224-E

Dear Mrs. Boyd:

Enclosed is the Power Plant Performance Report for Carolina Power & Light Company d/b/a Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc. for the month of July 2010.

Sincerely,

Zen S. Authory
Len S. Anthony
General Counsel

Progress Energy Carolinas, Inc.

LSA/dhs Attachment 45612

c:

John Flitter (ORS)

The following units had no off-line outages during the month of July:

Brunswick Unit 1 Brunswick Unit 2

Harris Unit 1

Roxboro Unit 2

Roxboro Unit 3

Roxboro Unit 4

#### Full Scheduled Outage

- A. <u>Duration:</u> The unit began a refueling outage at 0:00 on April 17, and was returned to service at 23:06 on July 19, a duration of 2,255 hours and 6 minutes. The unit was offline for 455 hours and 6 minutes during the month of July.
- B. <u>Cause:</u> Scheduled Refueling Outage
- C. <u>Explanation</u>: After experiencing a fire and reactor trip associated with the 4-kV power supply to non-vital bus 5, the unit began to transition into the scheduled refueling outage approximately ten days early. By industry standards, the refueling outage officially began April 17, the official scheduled start date. In addition to refueling, required maintenance and inspections were conducted during the outage.
- D. <u>Corrective Action:</u> Planned outage activities, including refueling, inspections, repairs to the 4-kV busses and electrical components damaged by the fire, and other maintenance activities, were completed. The unit was returned to service upon completion of refueling, maintenance, inspections, and testing activities.

### Full Scheduled Outage

- A. <u>Duration:</u> The unit was taken out of service at 2:06 on July 17, and was returned to service at 4:02 on July 19, a duration of 49 hours and 56 minutes.
- B. Cause: Replacement of Voltage Regulator
- C. <u>Explanation</u>: The unit was taken out of service to investigate voltage spikes experienced by the unit's generator CT circuit and irregularities in the automatic voltage regulator (AVR) response.
- D. <u>Corrective Action:</u> Upon inspection and investigation of the generator CT circuit and excitation switchgear, a voltage regulator was replaced to address issues pertaining to voltage spikes and AVR response irregularities. The unit was returned to service upon completion of inspection and repairs.

	Month of .	luly 2010	Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	938	MW	950	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	700,560	MWH	6,499,941	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	100.39	%	78.09	%	
Equivalent Availability	98.83	%	78.04	%	
Output Factor	100.39	%	98.32	%	
Heat Rate	10,491	BTU/KWH	10,473	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	1,382,550	16.61	3
Partial Scheduled	8,173	1.17	88,056	1.06	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	329,895	3.96	5
Partial Forced	0	0.00	107,496	1.29	6
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7
Possible MWH	697,872		8,324,920		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

	Month of J	luly 2010	Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	920	MW	931	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	688,328	MWH	7,774,484	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	100.56	%	95.34	%	
Equivalent Availability	100.00	%	94.59	%	
Output Factor	100.56	%	99.49	%	
Heat Rate	10,766	BTU/KWH	10,609	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	107,101	1.31	3
Partial Scheduled	0	0.00	34,677	0.43	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	232,840	2.85	5
Partial Forced	0	0.00	89,686	1.10	6
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7
Possible MWH	684,480		8,155,560		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

	Month of J	luly 2010	Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	900	MW	912	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	677,181	MWH	7,974,619	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	101.13	%	99.84	%	
Equivalent Availability	100.00	%	98.46	%	
Output Factor	101.13	%	101.18	%	
Heat Rate	10,872	BTU/KWH	10,700	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	0	0.00	3
Partial Scheduled	0	0.00	9,393	0.12	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	105,870	1.33	5
Partial Forced	0	0.00	11,640	0.15	6
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7
Possible MWH	669,600		7,989,120		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

Progress Ene	ergy Carolinas
Run Date	8/17/2010

# BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REPORT Robinson 2

Page 4

	Month of C	July 2010	Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	724	MW	730	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	166,828	MWH	4,445,905	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	30.97	%	69.59	%	
Equivalent Availability	32.18	%	67.65	%	
Output Factor	79.76	%	101.90	%	
Heat Rate	11,805	BTU/KWH	10,671	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	329,492	61.17	1,644,116	25.73	3
Partial Scheduled	21,363	3.97	27,365	0.43	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	381,596	5.97	5
Partial Forced	20,973	3.89	31,226	0.49	6
Economic Dispatch	0	0.00	0	0.00	7
Possible MWH	538,656		6,390,420		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Nuclear Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

	Month of J	July 2010	Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	727	MW	733	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	413,393	MWH	4,676,530	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	76.43	%	72.83	%	
Equivalent Availability	88.82	%	94.61	%	
Output Factor	81.93	%	78.83	%	
Heat Rate	10,521	BTU/KWH	10,589	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	36,301	6.71	268,017	4.17	3
Partial Scheduled	21,181	3.92	37,515	0.58	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	5,874	0.09	5
Partial Forced	2,988	0.55	32,354	0.50	6
Economic Dispatch	67,025	12.39	1,400,447	21.81	7
Possible MWH	540,888		6,420,350		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

Progress Ene	ergy Carolinas
Run Date	8/17/2010

# BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REPORT Roxboro 2

Page 6

	Month of July 2010		Twelve Month Summary		See Notes*
MDC	662	MW	665	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	454,122	MWH	3,800,790	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	92.20	%	65.25	%	
Equivalent Availability	97.62	%	73.09	%	
Output Factor	92.20	%	86.10	%	
Heat Rate	9,041	BTU/KWH	9,005	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	1,214,397	20.85	3
Partial Scheduled	10,617	2.16	70,496	1.21	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	196,408	3.37	5
Partial Forced	1,128	0.23	89,081	1.53	6
Economic Dispatch	26,661	5.41	452,784	7.77	7
Possible MWH	492,528		5,825,400		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

Progress Ene	ergy Carolinas
Run Date	8/17/2010

# BASE LOAD POWER PLANT PERFORMANCE REPORT Roxboro 3

Page 7

	Month of July 2010		Twelve Month	Twelve Month Summary		
MDC	693	MW	696	MW	1	
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS		
Net Generation	460,829	MWH	4,346,172	MWH	2	
Capacity Factor	89.38	%	71.34	%		
Equivalent Availability	99.31	%	94.10	%		
Output Factor	89.38	%	75.22	%		
Heat Rate	10,525	BTU/KWH	10,844	BTU/KWH		
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible		
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	314,792	5.17	3	
Partial Scheduled	0	0.00	9,096	0.15	4	
Full Forced	0	0.00	0	0.00	5	
Partial Forced	3,541	0.69	34,929	0.57	6	
Economic Dispatch	51,222	9.93	1,387,432	22.77	7	
Possible MWH	515,592		6,092,580		8	

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

	Month of	July 2010	Twelve Month	Summary	See Notes*
MDC	698	MW	702	MW	1
Period Hours	744	HOURS	8,760	HOURS	
Net Generation	436,621	MWH	4,705,918	MWH	2
Capacity Factor	84.08	%	76.50	%	
Equivalent Availability	96.18	%	97.60	%	
Output Factor	84.08	%	77.34	%	
Heat Rate	11,886	BTU/KWH	11,861	BTU/KWH	
	MWH 	% of Possible	MWH 	% of Possible	
Full Scheduled	0	0.00	24,920	0.41	3
Partial Scheduled	19,520	3.76	71,753	1.17	4
Full Forced	0	0.00	5,596	0.09	5
Partial Forced	310	0.06	45,559	0.74	6
Economic Dispatch	62,862	12.10	1,298,161	21.10	7
Possible MWH	519,312		6,152,440		8

<sup>\*</sup> See 'Notes for Fossil Units' filed with the January 2010 report.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Gross of Power Agency

Plant	Unit	Current MW Rating	January 2009 - December 2009	July 2010	January 2010 - July 2010
Asheville	1	191	70.87	74.52	77.37
Asheville	2	185	59.45	74.35	69.32
Cape Fear	5	144	63.73	85.71	78.68
Cape Fear	6	172	62.21	80.58	74.27
Lee	1	74	50.63	76.93	75.71
Lee	2	77	41.80	69.32	61.88
Lee	3	246	58.82	80.19	76.13
Mayo	1	727	62.45	76.43	78.14
Robinson	1	177	61.18	71.79	75.16
Roxboro	1	369	79.40	94.46	83.37
Roxboro	2	662	73.67	92.20	60.81
Roxboro	3	693	62.76	89.38	82.63
Roxboro	4	698	71.40	84.08	79.88
Sutton	1	97	39.14	48.99	53.63
Sutton	2	104	44.65	67.97	56.90
Sutton	3	403	48.01	66.23	60.23
Weatherspoon	1	48	13.92	59.71	46.66
Weatherspoon	2	48	14.93	58.50	39.93
Weatherspoon	3	75	23.59	56.58	57.26
Fossil System Total		5,190	62.52	80.57	72.97
Brunswick	1	938	97.67	100.39	67.72
Brunswick	2	920	79.50	100.56	96.18
Harris	1	900	93.90	101.13	100.41
Robinson Nuclear	2	724	104.08	30.97	46.30
Nuclear System Total		3,482	93.18	86.19	79.20
Total System		8,672	74.79	82.83	75.49

### Amended SC Fuel Rule Related to Nuclear Operations

There shall be a rebuttable presumption that an electrical utility made every reasonable effort to minimize cost associated with the operation of its nuclear generation system if the utility achieved a net capacity factor of  $\geq$  92.5% during the 12 month period under review. For the test period March 1, 2010 through July 31, 2010, actual period to date performance is summarized below:

Period to Date: March 1, 2010 to July 31, 2010

#### Nuclear System Capacity Factor Calculation (Based on net generation)

A Nuclear system actual generation for SCPSC test period	A = 9	9,484,113 MWH
B. Total number of hours during SCPSC test period	B =	3,671 hours
C. Nuclear system MDC during SCPSC test period (see page 2)	C =	3,482 MW
D. Reasonable nuclear system reductions (see page 2)	D=	3,556,008 MWH
A. SC Fuel Case nuclear system capacity factor: [(A + D) / (B	3 + C)]	* 100 = 102.0%

#### NOTE:

If Line Item E > 92.5%, presumption of utility's minimum cost of operation. If Line Item E < 92.5%, utility has burden of proof of reasonable operations.

### Amended SC Fuel Rule Nuclear System Capacity Factor Calculation Reasonable Nuclear System Reductions

Period to Date: March 1, 2010 to July 31, 2010

Nuclear Unit Name and Designation	BNP Unit # 1	BNP Unit # 2	HNP Unit # 1	RNP Unit # 2	Nuclear System
Unit MDC	938 MW	920 MW	900 MW	724 MW	3,482 MW
Reasonable refueling outage time (MWH)	1,335,783	0	0	1,644,116	
Reasonable maintenance, repair, and equipment replacement outage time (MWH)	92,159	26,110	2,368	364,032	
Reasonable coast down power reductions (MWH)	0	0	0	0	
Reasonable power ascension power reductions (MWH)	55,192	464	0	21,363	
Prudent NRC required testing outages (MWH)	8,172	5,650	599	0	
SCPSC identified outages not directly under utility control (MWH)	0	0	0	0	
Acts of Nature reductions (MWH)	0	0	0	0	
Reasonable nuclear reduction due to low system load (MWH)	0	0	0	0	
Unit total excluded MWH	1,491,306	32,224	2,967	2,029,511	
Total reasonable outage time exclusions [carry to Page 1, Line D]					3,556,008